#### **REPORT TO THE CALGARY PLANNING COMMISSION**

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT	ITEM NO: 04			
	FILE NO:	DP2011-3321		
	CPC DATE:	2012 February 02		

BELTLINE (Ward 8 – Alderman Mar)



## PROPOSAL:

10-Storey Office Building with Retail uses at-grade

APPLICANT: NORR Architects	OWNER: Centre 10 Corporation	
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: 517 and 521R - 10 Avenue SW	LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Plan 196EA, Block 69, Lots 6 to 20	(Map 16C)

EXISTING LAND USE DISTRICT(S): Centre City Mixed-Use District (CC-X)

AREA OF SITE: 0.441 ha  $\pm$  (1.09 ac  $\pm$ )

CURRENT DEVELOPMENT: Under Construction (mixed used development)

ADJACENT DEVELOPMENT:

NORTH: Surface Parking Area

- SOUTH: Commercial (12-storey office building)
- EAST: Commercial (1-2 storey commercial block)
- WEST: Residential (5-storey residential building)

DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY				
RULE	BYLAW STANDARD	PROPOSED	RELAXATION	
DENSITY	Maximum - Commercial (5.0 F.A.R - commercial) Maximum Commercial with bonusing: (8.0 F.A.R)	7.91	None. Bonusing provided.	
HEIGHT	None.	38 metres	None.	
YARDS (BUILDING SETBACK)Front: (1.5 metres min. – 6.0 metres max.)Rear: N/R		Front: 0.20 metres	1.30 metres	
PARKING	285 (office & retail)	744	None	
LANDSCAPING	30 percent (minimum)	23.38 percent	6.62 percent	

### EXTERIOR FINISH MATERIALS

Walls: Curtain wall glass & spandrel system; blue tint

Roof: Metal panel screening; zinc & charcoal grey.

Windows: Clear Glazing (blue tint)

SUMMARY OF CIRCULATION REFEREES			
<b>CPTED ASSESSMENT</b> Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design	Not Applicable		
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT	Not Applicable		
URBAN DESIGN REVIEW PANEL	Comments attached as APPENDIX II		
COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION	Comments in support attached as APPENDIX III		
Beltline Planning Group			

#### PLANNING EVALUATION

#### Introduction

The subject Development Permit Application proposes development of a 10-storey office building with retail uses at-grade, along with the portion of the below grade parking structure for commercial parking uses. The proposed development seeks to build on top of a foundation currently under construction, previously approved for a mixed-use residential/commercial development. Shortly after commencement of construction for a mixed use project approved as DP2005-3925, construction activity on the subject site was suspended by the owners due to market conditions. In 2010, the subject site was identified as one of 5 sites of interest within the downtown core as recognized by the report to Council (LPT2010-42, June 16, 2010) which provided provisions to potentially provide for temporary uses for the specified sites. The common circumstances found on each site pertained to (1) suspended construction activity and (2) the apparent risk of the "do nothing" approach to these suspended sites.

The subject site was subsequently purchased by the current owners who have chosen to pursue a development that is significantly different in both form and function of the originally approved development.

#### Site Context

The subject site is located on the south-west corner of 10 Avenue SW and 4 Street SW, within a "Urban Mixed-Use" District (CC-X). The subject site is currently in the construction phase under the previous approval of a mixed-use 638 unit twin-tower podium development approved by the Calgary Planning Commission (DP2005-3925) on July 26, 2006. The proposed building has been sited in a manner that takes full advantage of the 100 metres frontage along 10 Avenue SW, being the principle public interface, with additional building interface provided along 4 Street SW, a span measuring 37 metres. The precinct in which the site is located is characterized by development which is predominantly commercial in nature found within various forms, densities and architectural expressions. The building's principle frontage along 10 Avenue SW is identified within the Beltline ARP as "Boulevard". Boulevards are envisioned as being dynamic, green linkages with high volumes providing for multiple movement modes, supported by a generous pedestrian environment while connecting major destinations within the Beltline and Downtown.

#### Site Characteristics

The subject site is generally flat with no significant geographic features identified and is currently developed as a seven level parkade with partially constructed commercial uses atgrade.

#### Legislation & Policy

Statutory policies of the <u>Beltline Area Redevelopment Plan</u> (ARP) provide direction for development of highest densities and range of uses with this area of the Beltline. The site resides within the "Urban Mixed-Use Areas" of the Beltline ARP. The intent of this policy is to allow for a wide range and mix of uses in many possible configurations, both within buildings and within the local context, resulting in vibrant pedestrian streets. Further, applicable objectives for these precincts include:

- To provide for uses that serves the local and broader population.
- To ensure compatibility of adjacent uses, within and among buildings and properties, particularly with respect to residential uses.
- To promote building forms that respect the local context and interfaces with adjacent properties, including consideration of visible facades, decks, roofs, access points and balconies from various view points.

#### Density Bonusing

The subject site is found within the boundaries of Bonus Area 'D' of the Beltline ARP, where the maximum floor area ratio (FAR) is 8.0 with bonuses. The density of the proposed development has been calculated at 7.91 F.A.R.. Bonusing for the additional density in excess of 5.0 F.A.R is required. The Applicants have chosen to provide a contribution to the Beltline Community Investment Fund (BCIF) for the additional 2.91 F.A.R for which would be a "Prior to Release" condition of the subject Development Permit.

#### Land Use District

The current land use district for the subject site is CC-X *Centre City Mixed Use District*. The CC-X district does not prohibit pure commercial development, rather, the stated purpose of these districts is to:

- Provide for a mixed use development that is sensitive to adjacent districts.
- Provide for a building form that is street oriented at grade
- Has a maximum base density with the opportunity for a density bonus over and above base density to achieve commercial-residential mixed use, public benefit and amenities within the same community.

The proposal has produced a building that is street oriented at grade. Eight commercial retail units have been provided at-grade in a rhythm that supports the form and function of both public frontages. The provisions of such retail at-grade provides the flexibility for a mix of non-office uses with an active street edge and interface that is pedestrian in nature.

#### Site Layout & Building Design

The proposed mid-rise building is contemporary in nature according to the Applicant, and is well-suited for high-density commercial uses. The building takes advantage of its long linear frontage along 10 Avenue SW in providing for large office floorplates, well suited for corporate office uses that may potentially provide for an anchor commercial presence for the Beltline Community. The large rectangular floorplates, each measuring in at 3,268 square metres; suggest the building is to be used for large-scale office uses which provide for maximum flexibility for its tenants.

The building has been cladded with spandrel & vision glass tinted in various hues of blue. Blue tinted glazing systems, as used in many other commercial buildings within the City, provide for a conservative expression and is found to have regard for its local context. To mitigate the long and monolithic nature of the building facades, Administration emphasized the need to aggregate the massing, in a manner that provides a finer grain rhythm that responds appropriately to its context. In response, the design provides vertical variations in the building through strategic details in the patterning of the curtain wall system.

The reflectivity of the glazing system has been carefully analyzed by both Administration and the Applicants. The principle façade (fronting 10 Avenue SW) is north facing which would not result in a significant exposure to direct sunlight; merely light being reflected from buildings across the avenue. Serious considerations were made to mitigate the adverse impacts of the 'mirror effect' of glazing systems. Subtle tinting has been used for the five forms of tinting used for the spandrel and vision glazing systems. Details provided by the Applicant show a co-efficient of reflectivity to be under 0.3 for the proposed glazing systems. Administration finds this to be a moderate level of reflectivity that will not result in significant adverse impacts related to fatal light issues and the principles in providing for bird friendly development.

For further consideration, the subject site will be within the shadows cast by the 12 storey commercial buildings directly to the south. The Applicant's narrative detailing inspiration/aspirations for the proposal is attached as Appendix V.

#### **Urban Design Review Panel**

A comprehensive review of the proposed building's relationship with the public realm has been provided by the Urban Design Review Panel. Below are the comments provided by the Panel along with the Applicant's response.

Comment	Applicant Response
The panel acknowledges and	The objective of a "light and lacy contemporary
supports the Applicant's aspiration	aesthetic" has primarily been addressed through the
for a light and lacy, contemporary	detailing of the slab edge. On the principal north and
aesthetic as illustrated in the	east facades, the slab edge detail will create an
precedent images. The panel is not	opaque glass panel the thickness of the slab itself
convinced that the aspiration for	(approximately 250mm) thereby leaving the
visual lightness and transparency	remainder of the glass façade transparent. The
has been achieved.	delicate balance is to select glass colours that are transparent enough to achieve the "lightness" while still having an appropriate shading coefficient to reduce solar gain. Refer to the revised elevations with specific glazing types located on the elevations and the sample board for this test.

-

The bird friendly guidelines should be acknowledged in the design solution. The panel feels that the current proposal for glass colour and reflectivity do not support the aspirations of the guideline	To address the panels concerns, the Applicant has added variety in the glass colours creating a patterning that will break the simplicity of the glass façade and decrease the "reflective" nature of the overall look. Considerations for a lightly fritted glass at the base of the building have also been incorporated in the revised plans. Light coloured "mechoshade" style blinds are to be installed throughout the building which will also decrease the transparency. This of course may conflict with the "light and Lacy contemporary aesthetic" sought, therefore it is a delicate balance between transparency and an opaque "bird friendly" facade. In addition the Applicants are proposing to install motion sensors and timers to eliminate unnecessary night lighting on the principal vertical elements for migratory season clarity (refer to the night renderings).
The panel recommends that the required bicycle racks be removed from the face of the building and moved to the tree alignment.	Applicants agree with this recommendation and will comply subject to Administration's endorsement.
The panel has concerns regarding the detailed resolution of the corner on 4 Street and 10 Avenue. For example the plan configuration of the stairs could be improved and the depth of the stair treads could be increased. The panel recognizes that there are some costs associated with reconfiguring the existing condition and feels that this would be very valuable to the success of the urban realm.	The Applicants have added an entrance canopy in this location to enhance the prominence of this entrance location and will consider reworking the stair treads during detailed working drawings.
In keeping with the Applicants aspiration for a light and contemporary aesthetic the panel encourages the Applicant to greatly simplify the expression and articulation of the base. This includes a more detailed resolution of the main entry on 10 Avenue.	The Applicants have redesigned the base of the building to create a much more lighter and contemporary feel by eliminating the column expression in every second structural bay and thinning all the mullions at the base for greater transparency.
The panel recommends that the Applicant consider design solutions that provide a greater level of transparency and building access on the southeast corner. This could include relocating the parkade intake air louver further to the west.	Unfortunately parkade louvre intake is an existing condition at-grade from the previous application, currently under construction. However the applicants have added a door and canopy to enhance the pedestrian friendliness of this location.

-

Г

#### Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

An Environmental Site Assessment was not required.

#### Landscaping

A combination of soft and hard landscaping on site has been provided on the second level and roof level. Landscaping on the second level has been provided along the western extent of the building edge and wraps around the southern extent, facing the lane. These areas have been lined with raised planters which provide some visual screening. These areas provide for openarea amenity spaces for tenants and contribute to the requirements for landscaping found in the requirements for the CC-X district. Hard landscaping comprises the vast majority of these areas. 10 Avenue SW has been preserved as a significant East-West pedestrian connection through the Beltline Community and enhanced with appropriate hard and soft landscaping.

At-grade, the public realm has been enhanced with non-standard surfacing treatment and street trees along the public realm. While no direct public amenities are being provided on the subject site, the contribution to the Beltline Community Investment Fund through bonusing initiatives does ensure that these items will be provided upon the determination of community stakeholders, at strategic locations within the Beltline community.

#### Site Access & Traffic

Vehicular ingress and egress is provided from the lane, located along the southern extent of the site, from 4 Street SW. All back-of-house functions and vehicular access are located along the laneway which is to be paved to the City's standard. Waste bins are to be pulled out and picked upon the lane. Tenth Avenue SW has been preserved as a significant East-West pedestrian connection through the Beltline Community and enhance with appropriate hard and soft landscaping.

#### Parking

Required vehicular parking has been provided on site within seven sub-grade levels, for a total of 744 stalls. The required number of parking stalls for the sum of the uses on site is 285 stalls. The 486 surplus stalls are to be used for short and long term commercial parking stalls in a 50/50 split.

#### Site Servicing for Utilities

Servicing to the subject lands is available.

#### **Environmental Sustainability**

The Applicants have submitted a LEED table as shown in Appendix IV outlining the points of sustainability that can potentially be incorporated should LEED be pursued at another time.

#### **Community Association Comments**

Comments from the Beltline Planning Group in support of the subject application have been received.

#### Adjacent Neighbour Comments

No comments received.

#### CONCLUSION:

The proposal is supported for the following reasons:

- 1. The subject application conforms to the general and specific goals of the Beltline Area Redevelopment Plan in accommodating commercial development of varied forms and functions.
- 2. Administration finds that the proposed development meets the purpose and intent of the "Urban Mixed-Use" area of the Beltline in providing for a building form that supports both the present and future context as envisioned in the Beltline ARP.

#### CORPORATE PLANNING APPLICATIONS GROUP RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL

Recommend that Calgary Planning Commission **APPROVE** the application with the following conditions:

#### **Prior to Release Requirements**

If this Development Permit is approved, the following requirements shall be met prior to the release of the permit. All requirements shall be resolved to the satisfaction of the Approving Authority:

#### Planning:

- Submit a total of 7 complete sets of amended plans (file folded and collated) to the Planning Generalist that comprehensively addresses all of the prior to release conditions of all Departments. In order to expedite the review of the amended plans; one plan set shall highlight all of the amendments. Please ensure that all plans affected by the revisions are amended accordingly. In the event that the prior to release conditions are not resolved, a recirculation fee may be applied.
- 2. Contribution to the Beltline Community Investment Fund (BCIF) is to be submitted for the additional 2.91 F.A.R approved for the subject application at the applicable rate.

#### **Urban Development:**

3. Amend the plans to:

<u>Roads</u>

Tree Trench

a. Provide a separated trench for the isolated tree adjacent to 4 Street SW.

b. Amend all relevant plans (site plan, L100, L200, etc.) so that the charcoal finish is widened by 425mm from edge of trench grate.

# For further details, contact the Roads Design and Development Technologist at 403-268-3801.

4. Remit a performance security deposit (certified cheque, bank draft, letter of credit) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Unit. The amount of the deposit is calculated by Roads and is based on 100 percent of the estimated cost of construction.

The developer is responsible to arrange for the construction of the infrastructure with their own forces and to enter into an Indemnification Agreement with Roads at the time of construction (the security deposit will be used to secure the work).

#### <u>Roads</u>

- a. Construction of new sidewalks adjacent to the subject site
- b. Construction of new curb and gutter adjacent to the development site
- c. Construction of concrete lane paving to City standards (for roll-out waste, etc.)
- d. Rehabilitation of existing driveway crossings, sidewalks, curb and gutter, etc., should it be deemed necessary through a site inspection by Roads personnel
- 5. Remit payment (certified cheque, bank draft) for the proposed infrastructure listed below within the public right-of-way to address the requirements of the Business Units. The amount is calculated by the respective Business Unit and is based on 100 percent of the estimated cost of construction.

The developer is responsible to coordinate the timing of the construction by City forces. The payment is non-refundable.

#### <u>Roads</u>

e. Street lighting upgrading adjacent to the subject site

#### **Transportation:**

- 6. Amend plans so that 769 total parking stalls are shown and 446 surplus parking stalls are assigned and labelled in the following fashion:
  - 223 long-stay commercial pay parking stalls
  - 223 short-stay commercial pay parking stalls
- 7. <u>Provide bicycle parking on the Site Plan</u>, in accordance with Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 and the City of Calgary's Bicycle Parking Handbook as follows:
  - All bicycle parking stalls must be located within property boundaries, outside of the bylawed setback area
    - Class 2 (short-stay) bike parking stalls shall be provided within the property of the development, not within public right of way.
    - Orient bicycle racks parallel to the curb to limit the impact on pedestrian clear walking width.

- Show rack design details for the Class 1 (secure) storage facility. Please ensure that each bicycle parking stall must be designed to:
  - allow a bicycle frame and both wheels to be secured with a standard Ulock
  - support the bicycle frame and both wheels
- Locate Class 2 bicycle (short-stay) parking stalls within 15 metres of a main entrance.
- Provide a 0.6m separation distance between bicycle parking stalls and any physical barriers, including other bicycle parking stalls
- Class 2 bicycle parking (short-stay) shall be located in a well-lit and highly visible location to ensure security and personal safety and must not interfere with a pedestrian walkway.
- Class 2 bicycle parking (short-stay) should be protected from the weather where possible by an overhang, an awning or a freestanding roof.

For additional information, please contact (Jakub Lisowski at 403-268-5455).

#### Parks:

None

#### **Permanent Conditions**

If this Development Permit is approved, the following permanent conditions shall apply:

#### Planning:

- 8. The development shall be completed in its entirety, in accordance with the approved plans and conditions.
- 9. No changes to the approved plans shall take place unless authorized by the Development Authority.
- 10. A Development Completion Permit shall be issued for the development; **before the use is commenced or the development occupied**. A Development Completion Permit is independent from the requirements of Building Permit occupancy. Call Development Inspection Services at 403-268-5491 to request a site inspection for the Development Completion Permit.

#### **Urban Development:**

- 11. The subject parcels shall be consolidated onto a single title.
- 12. Single retaining walls 1.2m in height or greater or terraced retaining walls 1.2m in height or greater with a horizontal separation between walls of less than 3.6m (3x height) require the approval of a Building Permit prior to construction.

For retaining wall(s) that meet these criteria, the developer may either:

- a. Include the retaining walls with the Building Permit for the building, or
- b. Apply for a separate Building Permit for the retaining walls.

It should be noted that the Building Permit for the building on site will not be released until the separate Building Permit for site retaining walls is approved.

13. If **during construction** of the development, the developer, the owner of the titled parcel, or any of their agents or contractors becomes aware of any contamination, the person discovering such contamination shall immediately report the contamination to the appropriate regulatory agency including, but not limited to, Alberta Environment, Alberta Health Services and The City of Calgary (311).

If **prior to or during construction** of the development, the developer, the owner of the titled parcel, or any of their agents become aware of contamination on City of Calgary lands or utility corridors, the City's Environmental Assessment & Liabilities division shall be immediately notified (311).

- 14. The developer shall be responsible for the cost of public work and any damage during construction in City road right-of-ways, as required by the Manager, Urban Development. All work performed on public property shall be done in accordance with City standards.
- 15. Canopies and awnings located within the bylaw setback and/or City road right-of-way shall be removed at the owner's expense within 30 days of the City of Calgary giving notice, as per the Streets Bylaw 20M88, Section 59.
- 16. Indemnification Agreements are required for any work to be undertaken adjacent to or within City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas for the purposes of crane operation, shoring, tie-backs, piles, surface improvements, lay-bys, utility work, +15 bridges, culverts, etc. All temporary shoring, etc., installed in the City rights-of-way, bylawed setbacks and corner cut areas must be removed to the satisfaction of the Manager of Urban Development, at the Applicant's expense, upon completion of the foundation. Prior to permission to construct, contact the Indemnification Agreement Coordinator, Roads at 403-268-3505.
- 17. The developer / project manager, and their site designates, shall ensure a timely and complete implementation, inspection and maintenance of all practices specified in erosion and sediment control report and/or drawing(s) which was submitted to Water Resources for review and acceptance. Any amendments to the ESC documents must be reviewed and approved by Water Resources in advance by contacting the ESC inspector that reviewed the documents or by contacting the Water Resources Erosion Control Coordinator at 403-268-2655. For other projects where an erosion and sediment control report and/or drawings have not been required at the Prior to Release stage, the developer, or their designates, shall, as a minimum, develop an erosion and sediment control drawing and implement good housekeeping practices to protect onsite and offsite storm drains, and to prevent or mitigate the offsite transport of sediment by the forces of water, wind and construction traffic (mud-tracking) in accordance with the current edition of The City of Calgary Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control (www.calgary.ca/waterservices/esc). Some examples of good housekeeping include stabilization of stockpiles, stabilized and designated construction entrances and exits, lot logs and perimeter controls, suitable storm inlet protection and dust control.

For **all soil disturbing projects**, the developer, or their representative, shall designate a person to inspect all erosion and sediment control practices a minimum of every seven (7) days and during, or within 24 hours of, the onset of significant precipitation (> 12 mm of rain in 24 hours, or rain on wet or thawing soils) or snowmelt events. Note that some practices may require daily or more frequent inspection. Erosion and sediment control practices shall be adjusted to meet changing site and winter conditions.

- 18. Contact the Erosion Control Inspector, Water Resources, with at least two business day's notice, to set up a pre-construction meeting prior to commencement of stripping and grading. Locations north of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-4913. Sites south of 17 Avenue S should contact 403-268-1847.
- 19. Stormwater runoff must be contained and managed in accordance with the "Stormwater Management & Design Manual' all to the satisfaction of the Director of Water Resources.
- 20. The grades indicated on the approved Development Permit plans must match the grades on the Development Site Servicing Plan for the subject site as per the Lot Grading Bylaw.
- 21. No trees, shrubs, buildings, permanent structures or unauthorized grade changes are permitted within the utility rights-of-way.
- 22. The encroachment(s) (bike racks, etc.) located within the bylaw setback shall be removed at the owner's expense within 30 days of the City of Calgary giving notice.

#### Transportation:

None.

#### Parks:

23. Any tree planting in the City boulevard shall be performed and inspected in accordance with Parks Development Guidelines and Standard Specifications – Landscape Construction (current edition). Applicant is to contact the Parks Development Inspector at 403-268-4760.

Joseph Yun 2012/February 23

				<ol> <li>Berner für Sich der Könntelle Sich samp ellere (samp referie)</li> </ol>
		STRUCTURAL	TRL & ASSOCIATES TRL & ASSOCIATES Data State I and Association Data State State State State State	A Constant of the constant of
) ALGARY, AB PANIES	PPLICATION 1089 AUGUST 26, 2011 OCTOBER 26, 2011 JANUARY 09, 2012	ELECTRICAL	SHP CONSULTING FLICTINGAL SUPPORTERING FLICTINGAL BLUDGE THREE THE SUPPORT SUCHT AND THE SUPPORT FOR SUPPORT	
CENTRE 10 515 & 517 - 10th AVENUE SW, CALGARY, AB CENTRON GROUP OF COMPANIES	DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATION NORR JOB NO. NCCA-110089 ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT: AUGUST 26, REV.1 DP RE-SUBMISSION DTR1: OCTOBER 26 REV.2 DP RE-SUBMISSION DTR2: JANUARY 09	MECHANICAL	HEMISTHER ENCINERING INC. 36.311 19-3094 38 SCURM ANTRY 73:03 NON 01.2123/4444	
515	DEVEL ISSUED FOR DI REV.1 DP RE-SI REV.2 DP RE-SI	LANDSCAPE	SCATURY + MULER - MURRAY per taken harmen cacan American an cacan and an an man and an an and an and an an	110 LANISCAR LAYOUT LIVEL 1 - MAN LOOK 1200 LANISCAR ETALS AMISCAR LAYOUT LIVEL 2 - SECHER 10005 LANISCAR LAYOUT ROOM TOP MATD LANIS
		ARCHITECTURAL	NORM ANCHITECTS FAANNERS NOVEMENT IN ANALYSIS COMMANDER AND THE ANALYSIS COMMANDER AND THE ANALYSIS OF ANALYSIS AND THE ANALYSIS	0 0000 CoveR start 0 010 Strive And STL COCIDNI STATISTIC 0 111 Strive And STL COCIDNI STATISTIC 0 112 Strive And STL STL STL 0 112 Strive And STL STL STL STL STL 0 112 Strive And STL

CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX I	Page 1

	11 Yanan wa ka min a Yamini. Kwa majawana pawarana da k
Intro         Intro         Intro         Intro           10110101         Intro         Intre         Intro         Intro <tdi< th=""><th>And the second s</th></tdi<>	And the second s
Nume       Num	America and a second se
	The second secon

DP2011-3321

APPENDIX I

Page 2

CPC 2012 February 02



CPC 2012 February 02	DP2













CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX I	Page 8



CPC 2012 February 02



CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX I	Page 11



2011 15.01 (ROL) (ROL) #10.00000000011 ( 2011 25.01 (ROL) #10.00000000011 ( 2011 25.01 (ROL) #10.00000000011 ( 2011 25.01 (ROL) #10.000000000011 ( 2011 25.000000000000000000000000000000000000	Market Control of Cont
	Mathematical and structure and stru
	Center ID Final Glass Specifications           Final Glass Specifications         3         4           Paral Glass Specifications         3         4
005 00 006 006 006 006 006 006 006 006 0	GudSLEGRAG Trystow GudSS Trystow G



A LET A CONTRACT OF A LET A CONTRACT OF A LET A	<sup>11</sup> C. Janker, G. Janne, D. Leiner, J. M. M. Mu, K. L. Janker, and Janne Dersteiner. Tab. M. M. Mu, and A. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Marrish, Hans, Hans, Hans, and A. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Marrish, Hans, Hans, Hans, M. M. M	Annual An	
			Image: Section of the sectio
		MET ELEVATIO	LICEND LICEND ALLOND ALLOND ALLAND
03 01 04 06	Edit rider.		4 (blue plase-darder heiter plase-darder heiter heiter beste heiter 1 sit angewer heiter 1 sit 1 sit
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		EAST ELEVATION - 4th	Creater 10 Final Class Specifications         2         3           Final Class Specifications         1         3           Dev 3, 2011         2         4           I         5         6/dora vf. counting bits town:         More glass-modium bits town:           VBL 54- #2         Verson Vuc/Ox-82         Verson Vuc/Ox-82           VBL 54- #2         Verson Vuc/Ox-82         Verson Vuc/Ox-82           VBL 54- #2         Verson Vuc/Ox-82         Verson Vuc/Ox-82           VILT         0.50         0.29         0.29           Villand         0.20         0.29         0.29           Strummer         0.21         0.26         0.29           Strummer         0.31         0.25         0.26           Strummer         0.31         0.25         0.29           Strummer         0.31         0.29         0.29           Strummer         0.31         0.25         0.31
			Conter 10 Tv picke duces Tv picke duces Tv picket duce













CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX I	Page 20

CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	Page 1
CFC ZUIZI EDIUALY UZ	DF2011-3321	Fayer

ITEM NO. 01	Joseph Yun
COMMUNITY:	BELTLINE
FILE NUMBER:	DP2011-3321
MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:	517 10 Avenue SW
APPLICANT:	NORR Architects & Planners
DESCRIPTION:	New: Office Building

**Comments Provided by the Panel:** 

- The panel acknowledges and supports the Applicant's aspiration for a light and lacy, contemporary aesthetic as illustrated in the precedent images. The panel is not convinced that the aspiration for visual lightness and transparency has been achieved.
- The bird friendly guidelines should be acknowledged in the design solution. The panel feels that the current proposal for glass colour and reflectivity do not support the aspirations of the guideline.
- The panel recommends that the required bicycle racks be removed from the face of the building and moved to the tree alignment.
- The panel has concerns regarding the detailed resolution of the corner on 4 Street and 10 Avenue. For example the plan configuration of the stairs could be improved and the depth of the stair treads could be increased. The panel recognizes that there are some costs associated with reconfiguring the existing condition and feels that this would be very valuable to the success of the urban realm.
- In keeping with the Applicants aspiration for a light and contemporary aesthetic the panel encourages the Applicant to greatly simplify the expression and articulation of the base. This includes a more detailed resolution of the main entry on 10 Avenue.
- The panel recommends that the Applicant consider design solutions that provide a greater level of transparency and building access on the southeast corner. This could include relocating the parkade intake air louver further to the west.

CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	Page 1



Box 97, 1500 14 ST SW Calgary, AB T3C 1C9 (403) 670-5499 ext. 3

January 17, 2012

Joseph Yun Centre City Planning & Design City of Calgary Municipal Building 800 MacLeod Trail SE Calgary, Alberta T2G 2M3

RE: DP2011-3321, New: Office, Retail and Consumer Service

Dear Joseph,

Beltline Planning Group has reviewed this application and is strongly in support of this proposed development.

We feel that the scale of the proposed application fits well with the surrounding part of the Beltline. It adds vibrancy to an area that has traditionally been neglected. The project provides a strong alternative and viable solution to the difficulties encountered by the former residential project proposed for this site. Beltline residents will be pleased with a completed project at this location.

We look forward to an expedient approval of this proposed development.

Sincerely,

Owen Craig, Chair Beltline Planning Group planning@beltline.ca 403 670 5499 ext 3

cc: Richard Goecke (<u>richard.goecke@calgary.ca</u>) Development Circulations Controller (<u>dp.circ@calgary.ca</u>)

CPC 2012 February 02         DP2011-3321         APPENDIX IV         Page 1	1
---	---

Yes	?	No			
0	7	0	Materia	als & Resources	13 Points
$\checkmark$		1	Prereq 1	Storage and Collection of Recyclables	Required
$\checkmark$	4		Credit 1	Building Reuse: Maintain Existing Walls, Floors, and Roof	1 - 5
$\checkmark$	2		Credit 2	Construction Waste Management	1 - 2
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 3	Materials Reuse	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 4	Recycled Content	1 - 2
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 5	Regional Materials	1-2
00	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 6	Certified Wood	1
Yes	?	No			
0	10	0	Indoor	Environmental Quality	12 Points
$\checkmark$		1	Prereq 1	Minimum Indoor Air Quality Performance	Required
$\checkmark$		1	Prereq 2	Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) Control	Required
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 1	Outdoor Air Delivery Monitoring	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 2	Increased Ventilation	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 3	Construction IAQ Management Plan: During Construction	1
$\sim$	1		Credit 4.1	Low-Emitting Materials: Adhesives and Sealants	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 4.2	Low-Emitting Materials: Paints and Coatings	1
$\sim$	1		Credit 4.3	Low-Emitting Materials: Flooring Systems	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 4.4	Low-Emitting Materials: Composite Wood and Agrifibre Products	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 5	Indoor Chemical and Pollutant Source Control	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 6	Controllability of System: Thermal Comfort	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 7	Thermal Comfort: Design	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 8.1	Daylight and Views: Daylight	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 8.2	Daylight and Views: Views	1
Yes	?	No			
0	0	0	Innova	tion in Design	6 Points
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 1.1	Innovation in Design	1
	0	$\sim$	Credit 1.2	Innovation in Design	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 1.3	Innovation in Design	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 1.4	Innovation in Design	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 1.5	Innovation in Design	1
	0	$\sim$	Credit 2	LEED <sup>®</sup> Accredited Professional	1
Yes	?	No			
0	0	0	Regior	nal Priority	4 Points
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 1	Durable Building	ī
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 2.1	Regional Priority Credit	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 2.2	Regional Priority Credit	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 2.3	Regional Priority Credit	1

			-
CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX IV	Page 2

# LEED Canada-CS 2009 Project Checklist

# Project Name CENTRE 10

Yes	?	No			
0	58	0	Project	t Totals (pre-certification estimates)	110 Possible Points
				0-49 points Silver 50-59 points Gold 60-79 points Platinum 80 points and above	
Yes	2	No		, , , , ,	
0	14	0	Sustai	nable Sites	28 Points
$\checkmark$			Prereq 1	Construction Activity Pollution Prevention	Required
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 1	Site Selection	1
$\checkmark$	5		Credit 2	Development Density and Community Connectivity	3, 5
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 3	Brownfield Redevelopment	1
$\checkmark$	3		Credit 4.1	Alternative Transportation: Public Transportation Access	3,6
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 4.2	Alternative Transportation: Bicycle Storage & Changing Rooms	2
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 4.3	Alternative Transportation: Low-Emitting & Fuel-Efficient Vehicles	3
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 4.4	Alternative Transportation: Parking Capacity	2
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 5.1	Site Development: Protect and Restore habitat	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 5.2	Site Development: Maximize Open Space	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 6.1	Stormwater Design: Quantity Control	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 6.2	Stormwater Design: Quality Control	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 7.1	Heat Island Effect: Non-Roof	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 7.2	Heat Island Effect: Roof	1
$\checkmark$	1		Credit 8	Light Pollution Reduction	1
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 9	Tenant Design and Construction Guidelines	1
Yes	?	No			
0	4	0	Water	Efficiency	10 Points
$\checkmark$		1	Prereq 1	Water Use Reduction	Required
$\overline{\checkmark}$	2		Credit 1	Water Efficient Landscaping	2,4
	0	$\checkmark$	Credit 2	Innovative Wastewater Technologies	2
$\checkmark$	2		Credit 3	Water Use Reduction	2-4
Yes	2	No	or out o	Water Ose Reduction	2.1
0	23	0	Energy	/ & Atmosphere	37 Points
$\checkmark$		1	Prereg 1	Fundamental Commissioning of Building Energy Systems	Required
- -			Prereg 2	Minimum Energy Performance	Required
- -	-		Prereq 3	Fundamental Refrigerant Management	Required
	17		100		
	17	$\checkmark$	Credit 1 Credit 2	Optimize Energy Performance On-Site Renewable Energy	3 - 21 2, 4
	0		Credit 2	Enhanced Commissioning	2,4
	2		Credit 3	Enhanced Refrigerant Management	2
	3		Credit 5.1	Measurement and Verification: Base Building	2 3
	3		Credit 5.1 Credit 5.2		
	0		Credit 5.2 Credit 6	Measurement and Verification: Tenant Submetering Green Power	3
	0		Cleand	Green Fower	2

CPC 2012 February 02	DP2011-3321	APPENDIX V	Page 1

#### **APPLICANT'S SUBMISSION**

This Development Permit application is for the renewal of a former condominium project that fell into receivership in 2008. The Centron Group of companies creatively envisioned a low rise commercial development in place of the former project. To a certain degree structural limitations of existing foundations dictate the overall building form. Functionally speaking the development will provide approximately 35,000m2 of new office space in a large floor plate format with accompanying pedestrian oriented retail at grade. The large floor plate format provides a welcome option for specific tenancies in the downtown core. The 744 stall underground parking will be utilized in part for the development and in part for short term parking.

Centre 10 is designed in the true neo-modern international style aesthetic. Simple expression of volume rather than mass, the emphasis on balance rather than preconceived symmetry, and the expulsion of applied ornament are the basis for the design concept. This neo-modern aesthetic is layered with multiple glass and spandrel colours and the texture of window mullion detailing. The super-grid of mullions breaks the overall building volume. This is combined with a playful variety of glass colours for visual interest. Overall colours are blue green and soft green with anodized aluminum frames. Additional accent colour may be added through specific tenant requirements in select locations (red would be nice!). The roof top terrace will create a wonderful tenant amenity and breaks the symmetry in the building volume.

The result is a light and lacy building volume with a varied, playful façade. The building base will repeat this overall aesthetic with an emphasis on transparency for pedestrian interaction. Retail tenants at grade will provide street presence. A metallic base frame element creates scale to the street and rises to the second storey at the important NE corner.

The overall development is being designed to a LEED Silver standard. Please see our response to the UDRP commentary regarding Bird Friendly design guidelines and other specific details.